arXiv:1602.05929v1 [math.AP] 18 Feb 2016

EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR DEGENERATE $p(x)$-LAPLACE EQUATIONS WITH LERAY-LIONS TYPE OPERATORS

KY HO AND INBO SIM

ABSTRACT. We show the various existence results for degenerate $p(x)$-Laplace equations with Leray-Lions type operators. A suitable condition on degeneracy is discussed and proofs are mainly based on direct methods and critical point theories in Calculus of Variations. In particular, we investigate the various situations of the growth rates between principal operators and nonlinearities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many problems in various real fields, for examples, electro-rheological fluids [18], the thermistor problem [22], or the problem of image recovery [4] are related to differential equations with nonsmooth growth which contain $p(x)$-Laplacian. In this paper, we investigate the following equations which extend $p(x)$-Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} a(x,\nabla u) = \lambda f(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$; $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions; and λ is a positive parameter. The operator $\operatorname{div} a(x,\nabla u)$ generalizes a degenerate $p(x)$-Laplacian $\operatorname{div}\left(w(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\right)$, where $p \in C(\overline{\Omega},(1,\infty)) =: C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and $w \in P_+(\Omega)$ the set of all measurable functions on Ω that are positive a.e. on Ω. There have been many studies about $p(x)$-Laplacian (see [3, 5, 7, 8, 13, 16] and the references therein).

Throughout the paper, we assume that $w \in P_+(\Omega)$ and $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$; and for each $q \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, set $q^- := \min_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} q(x)$, $q^+ := \max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} q(x)$, and let q' denote the conjugate function of q, i.e., $\frac{1}{q(x)} + \frac{1}{q'(x)} = 1, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Furthermore, we assume that

- $(A0)$ $a(x,-\xi) = -a(x,\xi)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- $(A1)$ There exists a Carathéodory function $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, continuously differentiable with respect to its second argument, such that $A(x,0) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $a(x,\xi) = \nabla_\xi A(x,\xi)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- $(A2)$ $|a(x,\xi)| \le \widetilde{C} w(x)\left[k(x) + |\xi|^{p(x)-1}\right]$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\widetilde{C}$ is a positive constant, $k \in P_+(\Omega)$ such that $wk^{p'} \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.
- $(A3)$ $0 < [a(x,\xi) - a(x,\eta)] \cdot (\xi - \eta)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \xi \neq \eta$.
- $(A4)$ $\bar{C} w(x)|\xi|^{p(x)} \le a(x,\xi)\cdot\xi$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\bar{C}$ is a positive constant.
- $(A5)$ $a(x,\xi)\cdot\xi \le p^+ A(x,\xi)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35J60, 35J70, 47J10, 46E35.

Key words and phrases. $p(x)$-Laplacian; weighted variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces; multiplicity; a priori bound; Leray-Lions type operators.

($w1$) $w \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $w^{-s} \in L^1(\Omega)$ for some $s \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $s(x) \in \left(\frac{N}{p(x)}, \infty\right) \cap \left[\frac{1}{p(x)-1}, \infty\right)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

The assumption ($w1$) is to assure basic properties of the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$, that are defined later. Since ($w1$) takes place, the weight w may be neither bounded nor away from zero. A problem containing such a w is called degenerate. Notice that $(A0)-(A5)$ may not be fulfilled simultaneously. Also note that under ($A1$), we have for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$A(x,\xi) = \int_0^1 a(x,t\xi)\cdot\xi \; dt. \tag{1.2}$$

Thus, it is easy to see that ($A2$) implies that

($\widetilde{A}2$) $A(x,\xi) \le \widetilde{C}_1\left[\widetilde{k}(x) + w(x)|\xi|^{p(x)}\right]$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\widetilde{k} := wk^{p'} \in L^1(\Omega) \cap P_+(\Omega)$ and $\widetilde{C}_1 := 2\widetilde{C}$;

and ($A4$) implies that

($\widetilde{A}4$) $\frac{\bar{C}w(x)}{p(x)}|\xi|^{p(x)} \le A(x,\xi)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

The operator satisfying $(A0)-(A5)$ is of Leray-Lions type and the typical examples are

$$\operatorname{div}\left[w(x)\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\nabla u|^{p_i(x)-2}\nabla u\right] \text{ and } \operatorname{div}\left[w(x)(1+|\nabla u|^2)^{(p(x)-2)/2}\nabla u\right].$$

Let us name the first operator a multiple degenerate $p(x)$-Laplacian and the second one a degenerate generalized mean curvature operator. Note that if $k(x)$ in ($A2$) is a positive constant function then ($A2$) requires $w \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Let us denote

$$p_s(x) := \tfrac{p(x)s(x)}{1+s(x)},$$

where s is given in ($w1$) and

$$p_s^*(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{p(x)s(x)N}{(s(x)+1)N-p(x)s(x)} & \text{if } p_s(x) < N, \\ +\infty & \text{if } p_s(x) \ge N, \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Furthermore, we assume that

($\widetilde{F}1$) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|f(x,t)| \le h(x) + C|t|^{q(x)-1}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $q \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ with $q(x) < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, and $h \in P_+(\Omega)$ such that $h^{q'} \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Recently, I.H. Kim and Y.-H. Kim [11] considered the problem (1.1) with $a(x,\xi) = \phi(x,|\xi|)\xi$ which is of type $|\xi|^{p(x)-2}\xi$ (non-degenerate cases) and a growth condition which is a little different from ($\widetilde{F}1$). Under suitable conditions on ϕ that are a special case of our assumptions, they obtained the existence and multiplicity of solutions using the Mountain Pass Theorem and Fountain Theorem. It is worth noting that the main operator in [11] cannot include multiple $p(x)$-Laplace operators. Boureanu and Udrea [3] considered the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} a(x,\nabla u) + |u|^{p(x)-2}u = \lambda f(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = c \ (constant) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where a satisfies $(A0)-(A5)$ with $w \equiv 1$ and showed the existence and multiplicity of solutions for (1.3) in the case of both $(p(\cdot)-1)$-sublinear at infinity and $(p(\cdot)-1)$-superlinear at infinity of nonlinearity. Moreover, they used several three solutions type theorems to obtain at least three distinct solutions for (1.3) for the case of $(p(\cdot)-1)$-sublinear at infinity. For a degenerate case, the authors [9] considered the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(w(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u) = f(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

under the condition $(w1)$ and showed that

1) the existence of non-trivial solutions using the Mountain Pass Theorem when f satisfies $(\widetilde{F}1)$ with h is constant and $q^- > p^+$, the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (the (AR) condition), $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p^+-1}} = 0$ uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$;
2) the uniqueness of solutions using the Browder's Theorem when f satisfies $(\widetilde{F}1)$ and is nonincreasing with respect to the second variable;
3) the uniqueness and the nonnegativeness of solutions using cut-off method when $w \in L^\infty(\Omega), w^{-s^+} \in L^1(\Omega)$; the nonlinearity f is continuous, and nonincreasing with respect to the second variable and $f(x,0) \geq 0$, for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

Motivated by the above results, we shall consider degenerate $p(x)$-Laplace equations (1.1) with Leray-Lions type operators which generalize the main operators in [3, 9, 11] to obtain their results. We also use a three solutions type theorem to obtain the multiplicity of solutions for (1.1) when the nonlinearity is $(p(\cdot)-1)$-sublinear at infinity as in [3]. However, since the boundary condition in the present paper is different from theirs, we need to give a new approach to deal with the nontriviality of solutions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the weighted variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces and list properties of those spaces. In Section 3, we obtain variational principles for our variational settings. In Section 4, we show the existence and multiplicity of solution for (1.1) in two cases; $(p(\cdot)-1)$-superlinear at infinity and $(p(\cdot)-1)$-sublinear at infinity using direct methods and critical point theories in Calculus of Variations. The final section is devoted to showing that the unique solution of (1.1) is nontrivial and nonnegative.

2. Abstract framework and preliminary results

In this section, we only review the weighted variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$, which were studied in [9, 12] and for the variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, we refer to [5, 13] and the references therein.

Let $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and $w \in P_+(\Omega)$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space as

$$L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable, } \int_\Omega w(x)|u(x)|^{p(x)}dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$|u|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_\Omega w(x)\Big|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\Big|^{p(x)} dx \le 1\right\},$$

becomes a normed space. When $w(x) \equiv 1$, we have $L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega) \equiv L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and use the notation $|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}$ instead of $|u|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)}$.

The following propositions will be useful for the next sections.

Proposition 2.1 ([5, 13]). *The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a separable and uniformly convex Banach space, and its conjugate space is $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ where $1/p(x)+1/p'(x)=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\left|\int_\Omega uv\,dx\right| \le \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{(p')^-}\right)|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}|v|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)} \le\ 2|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}|v|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)}.$$

Define the modular $\rho : L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\rho(u) = \int_\Omega w(x)|u(x)|^{p(x)}dx, \quad \forall u \in L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega).$$

Proposition 2.2 ([12]). *For all $u \in L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$, we have*

(i) $|u|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} < 1\ (=1,>1)$ *if and only if* $\rho(u) < 1\ (=1,>1)$, *respectively;*

(ii) *If* $|u|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} > 1$ *then* $|u|^{p^-}_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} \le \rho(u) \le |u|^{p^+}_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)}$;

(iii) *If* $|u|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} < 1$ *then* $|u|^{p^+}_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} \le \rho(u) \le |u|^{p^-}_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)}$.

Consequently,

$$|u|^{p^-}_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} - 1 \le \rho(u) \le |u|^{p^+}_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} + 1,\ \forall u \in L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega).$$

Proposition 2.3 ([9]). *If $u, u_n \in L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ $(n = 1,2,\dots)$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty}|u_n - u|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} = 0$;

(ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\rho(u_n - u) = 0$.

The weighted variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)} = |u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + \big||\nabla u|\big|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)}.$$

$W^{1,p(x)}_0(w,\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)}$.

The separability of $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ is required for Fountain Theorem.

Proposition 2.4. *Assume that $(w1)$ holds. Then $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ is a separable reflexive Banach space.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.10 in [12], we have that $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space. The only thing left to prove is the fact that $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ is separable. We first show that $L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ is separable. It is well-known that $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is separable so there exists a countable subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is dense in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_w = \{w^{-\frac{1}{p}}f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_w$ is a countable subset of $L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$.

For any $f \in L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$, we have $w^{\frac{1}{p}} f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. So there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$|f_n - w^{\frac{1}{p}} f|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty.$$

By this and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\int_\Omega |f_n(x) - w(x)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} f(x)|^{p(x)} dx = \int_\Omega w(x) |w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p(x)}} f_n(x) - f(x)|^{p(x)} dx \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Equivalently,

$$|w^{-\frac{1}{p}} f_n - f|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)} \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty.$$

Note that $\{w^{-\frac{1}{p}} f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}_w$. This implies the separability of $L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$.

We next show the separability of $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$. We have that the product space $Y := L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \times \left(L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)\right)^N = L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \times L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega) \times \cdots \times L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ is a separable Banach space with an equivalent norm

$$|(u_0, u_1, \cdots, u_N)|_Y = |u_0|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \right|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)}$$

Consider the operator

$$T : W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega) \to (Y, |\cdot|_Y), \ Tu = (u, u_{x_1}, \cdots, u_{x_N}).$$

Then T is a linear isometric operator. Obviously, $T\left(W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)\right)$ is closed in Y. Indeed, if $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ and $Tu_n \to v = (v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_N)$ as $n \to \infty$ in Y i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} u_n \to v_0 & \text{in } \ L^{p(x)}(\Omega), \\ \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \to v_i & \text{in } \ L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega) \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, N$. Then we have that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ so there exists $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ such that $u_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ and hence, $v_0 = u$. We now show that $v_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$. In fact, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \phi dx = -\int_\Omega u_n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dx. \tag{2.2}$$

It follows from (2.1) that $\int_\Omega u_n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dx \to \int_\Omega u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dx$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $\Omega_0 = \text{supp}(\phi)$ then $L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega_0)$ (see [12, Proposition 2.8]). So (2.1) implies that $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \to v_i$ in $L^1(\Omega_0)$. Hence, we infer $\int_\Omega \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \phi dx \to \int_\Omega v_i \phi dx$ as $n \to \infty$ since

$$\left| \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \phi dx - \int_\Omega v_i \phi dx \right| \le \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} - v_i \right| |\phi| dx \le \|\phi\|_\infty \int_{\Omega_0} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} - v_i \right| dx.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain from these facts and (2.2) that

$$\int_\Omega v_i \phi dx = -\int_\Omega u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dx.$$

So $v_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ and hence, $v = Tu$ i.e., $v \in T\left(W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)\right)$. So $T\left(W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)\right)$ is closed in Y. It implies that $T\left(W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)\right)$ is separable and hence, so is $W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$. □

The next imbedding result will be used in the later sections frequently.

Proposition 2.5 ([12]). *Assume that* $(w1)$ *holds. If* $q \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ *and* $q(x) < p_s^*(x)$ *for all* $x \in \overline{\Omega}$*, then we obtain the continuous compact imbedding*

$$W^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega).$$

3. Variational settings

Throughout the paper, let us denote $X := W_0^{1,p(x)}(w,\Omega)$ and on X we have an equivalent norm $\|u\| = \big|\big|\nabla u\big|\big|_{L^{p(x)}(w,\Omega)}$ (see [12, Corollary 2.12]). Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [14] we have the next lemma, the so called (S_+)-property which will be used to show compactness.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that* $(w1), (A2)-(A4)$ *hold. If* $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ *(weakly) as* $n \to \infty$ *in* X *and*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega a(x,\nabla u_n)\cdot(\nabla u_n - \nabla u)dx \le 0$$

then $u_n \to u$ *(strongly) as* $n \to \infty$ *in* X*.*

Let us define $\Phi, \Psi, J : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(u) = \int_\Omega A(x,\nabla u)dx,\ \ \Psi(u) = \int_\Omega F(x,u)dx,\ \ \text{and}\ \ J = \Phi - \lambda\Psi \tag{3.1}$$

with $F(x,t) = \int_0^t f(x,s)ds$. Then we have fundamental results for Φ and Ψ.

Lemma 3.2. (i) *Assume that* $(w1), (A1), (A2)$ *hold. Then* $\Phi \in C^1(X,\mathbb{R})$ *and*

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v\rangle = \int_\Omega a(x,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla v\ dx,\ \ \textit{for any}\ \ u, v \in X.$$

If in addition $(A3)-(A4)$ *hold then* $\Phi' : X \to X^*$ *is a homeomorphism with a bounded inverse.*

(ii) *Assume that* $(w1), (\widetilde{F}1)$ *hold. Then* $\Psi \in C^1(X,\mathbb{R})$ *and*

$$\langle \Psi'(u), v\rangle = \int_\Omega f(x,u)v\ dx,\ \ \textit{for any}\ \ u, v \in X.$$

Moreover, Ψ *and* Ψ' *are sequentially weakly continuous, i.e.,* $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ *(weakly) as* $n \to \infty$ *in* X *implies* $\Psi(u_n) \to \Psi(u)$ *and* $\Psi'(u_n) \to \Psi'(u)$ *as* $n \to \infty$ *in* $\mathbb{R}$ *and* X^**, respectively.*

Proof. By modifying the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [9], we can easily obtain the differentiability of Φ and Ψ and their derivative formulas.

In the case of (i), if we assume in addition that $(A3)-(A4)$ hold then $L := \Phi' : X \to X^*$ is strictly monotone, coercive, and continuous on X. Therefore by invoking the Browder's theorem for monotone operators in the reflexive Banach spaces (see [21, Theorem 26.A]), we deduce that L has a bounded inverse $L^{-1} : X^* \to X$. Let $f_n \to f$ as $n \to \infty$ in X^* and set $u_n = L^{-1}(f_n), u = L^{-1}(f)$, i.e., $f_n = L(u_n), f = L(u)$. Then the boundedness of L^{-1} and $\{f_n\}$ imply that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. So up to a subsequence, we have $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ (weakly) as $n \to \infty$ in X. By this and the estimate below

$$|\langle f_n - f, u_n - u\rangle| \le \|f_n - f\|_{X^*}\|u_n - u\|,$$

we infer that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle L(u_n), u_n - u\rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty}\langle f_n, u_n - u\rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty}\langle f_n - f, u_n - u\rangle = 0$, i.e., $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega a(x,\nabla u_n)\cdot(\nabla u_n - \nabla u)dx = 0$. This implies that $u_n \to \bar{u}$ (strongly) as $n \to \infty$ in X in the view of Lemma 3.1. This yields $f_n = L(u_n) \to L(\bar{u})$

and thus, $f = L(\bar{u})$. By the strict monotonicity of L we obtain $u = \bar{u}$ and hence, $L^{-1}(f_n) \to L^{-1}(f)$, i.e., L^{-1} is continuous on X^*.

Areguments for showing the sequentially weak continuity of Ψ and Ψ' in the case of (ii) are standard (see e.g., [10, Proof of Proposition 2.9]), we omit it. □

Lemma 3.2 implies that when $(w1), (A1), (A2)$ and $(\widetilde{F}1)$ hold, $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. On more assumptions, we have the following result for J and J'. The proof is easily obtained from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that $(w1), (A1)-(A4)$ and $(\widetilde{F}1)$ hold. Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, J is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and the derivative J' is an (S_+) type operator, i.e., if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ (weakly) in X and $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\langle J'(u_n), u_n - u\rangle \le 0$ then $u_n \to u$ (strongly) in X.*

Definition 3.4. *We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if*

$$\int_\Omega a(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla\varphi(x)dx = \lambda \int_\Omega f(x, u(x))\varphi(x)dx$$

for all $\varphi \in X$.

Obviously, under assumptions of Lemma 3.2, a critical point of J is a weak solution of (1.1). We now remind some variational principles for the multiplicity of solutions. The following is a three critical points type theorem due to Bonanno et al. [2].

Theorem 3.5 ([2, Theorem 2.1])**.** *Let X be a reflexive real Banach space, and let $\Phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a coercive, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^*, $\Psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact such that $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0$. Assume that there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $u_0 \in X$ with $r_0 < \Phi(u_0)$ such that*

(i) $\sup\limits_{\Phi(u)<r_0} \Psi(u) < r_0\Psi(u_0)/\Phi(u_0)$;

(ii) *for each $\lambda \in \Lambda = (\Phi(u_0)/\Psi(u_0), r_0/\sup\limits_{\Phi(u)<r_0} \Psi(u))$, the functional $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ is coercive.*

Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the functional $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points in X.

The last concern is the existence of infinitely many critical points when the energy functional J is symmetric. Recall that if X is a separable reflexive Banach space then it is well-known that there exist $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X$ and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X^*$ such that $X = \overline{\text{span}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty}$, $X^* = \overline{\text{span}\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty}$ and

$$\langle f_i, e_j\rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \ne j, \end{cases}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the duality product between X^* and X (see [23, Section 17]) . Denote

$$X_n = \text{span}\{e_n\},\ Y_n = \oplus_{k=1}^n X_k,\ Z_n = \overline{\oplus_{k=n}^\infty X_k}.$$

For X_n, Y_n, Z_n taken as the above, we have

Theorem 3.6 ([20, Fountain Theorem])**.** *Assume that $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is even and for each $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, there exist $\rho_n > \gamma_n > 0$ such that*

$(H1)$ $b_n = \inf_{\{u\in Z_n:\ \|u\|=\gamma_n\}} J(u) \to +\infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$;
$(H2)$ $a_n = \max_{\{u\in Y_n:\ \|u\|=\rho_n\}} J(u) \le 0$*;*
$(H3)$ J *satisfies the* $(PS)_c$ *condition for every* $c > 0$.
Then J *has a sequence of critical values tending to* $+\infty$.

4. Existence and multiplicity of Solutions

We divide this section to show the existence and multiplicity of solutions for (1.1) into two cases; $(p(\cdot)-1)$-superlinear and $(p(\cdot)-1)$-sublinear at infinity using critical point theories in Calculus of Variations and the three critical points type theorem 3.5.

4.1. $(p(\cdot)-1)$**-superlinear at infinity.** In this subsection, we shall establish the existence and multiplicity of solutions when f satisfies the (AR) condition. We assume that

$(F1)$ There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|f(x,t)| \le C\left(1 + |t|^{q(x)-1}\right)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $q \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ with $q(x) < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$;
$(F2)$ There exist $l > 0$ and $\theta > p^+$ such that
$$0 < \theta F(x,t) \le f(x,t)t$$
for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $|t| \ge l$, where $F(x,t) = \int_0^t f(x,s)ds$;
$(F3)$ $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p^+-1}} = 0$ uniformly for $x \in \Omega$.

Notice that $(F1)$ implies that

$(G1)$ $|F(x,t)| \le C\left[|t| + \frac{1}{q(x)}|t|^{q(x)}\right] \le C_1\left[1 + |t|^{q(x)}\right]$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Under the condition $(F1)$, the (AR) condition $(F2)$ implies that there exists a function $\kappa \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap P_+(\Omega)$ such that

$$F(x,t) \ge \kappa(x)|t|^\theta, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } |t| > l \ . \tag{4.1}$$

From this, one can deduce that f is $(p(\cdot)-1)$-superlinear at infinity. The first existence result is obtained by the classical Mountain Pass Theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that* $(w1), (A1)-(A5)$ *hold. Assume also that* $(F1)-(F3)$ *hold in which* $p^+ < q^-$. *Then* (1.1) *has at least one nontrivial weak solution in* X *for every* $\lambda > 0$.

If we assume in addition the oddivity on a and f, we can obtain the following type multiplicity of solutions.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that* $(w1), (A0)-(A5)$ *hold. Assume also that* $(F1), (F2)$ *hold. If* $f(x,-t) = -f(x,t)$ *for a.e.* $x \in \Omega$ *and for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *then* J *has a sequence of critical points* $\{\pm u_n\}$ *such that* $J(\pm u_n) \to +\infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$ *and* (1.1) *has infinitely many pairs of solutions for every* $\lambda > 0$.

The proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 will be done thanks to the next two lemmas which verify the (PS) condition and the mountain pass geometries of J, respectively. Since the proofs of these two lemmas are similar to that of Theorem 3.3 in [9], we omit it.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that* $(w1), (A1)-(A5)$ *hold. Assume also that* $(F1), (F2)$ *hold. Then* J *satisfies the* (PS) *condition for every* $\lambda > 0$.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that* $(w1), (\widetilde{A}2)$ *and* $(\widetilde{A}4)$ *hold. Assume also that* $(F1)-(F3)$ *hold in which* $p^+ < q^-$. *Then for every* $\lambda > 0$

(i) *there exist* $r, \rho > 0$ *such that* $J(u) \geq \rho$ *if* $\|u\| = r$;
(ii) *there exists* $e \in X$ *with* $\|e\| > r$ *such that* $J(e) < 0$.

We now give proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The fact $J(0) = 0$ and Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 show that J satisfies all required conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem and this completes the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We showed that $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ by Lemma 3.2 and it is obvious to see that J is an even functional due to the oddivity of f, (1.2) and $(A0)$. Next, we shall verify that J satisfies conditions $(H1)-(H3)$ in Fountain Theorem. $(H3)$ is clearly satisfied by Lemma 4.3.

To show $(H1)$, we let

$$\beta_n = \sup\{|u|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)} : u \in Z_n,\ \|u\| = 1\}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 2.5, it is clear that $\Psi(u) = |u|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}$ is sequentially weakly continuous on X. Thus, $\beta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ in view of [7, Lemma 3.3]. This implies that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\beta_n \leq 1$ for all $n \geq n_0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define γ_n by

$$\gamma_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_n}}, & \text{if } n_0 \leq n, \\ 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq n < n_0. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $\gamma_n \geq 1$ for all n and $\gamma_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Then for $u \in Z_n$ with $\|u\| = \gamma_n$, by $(\widetilde{A}4), (G1)$, Proposition 2.2 and the definition of β_n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}\int_\Omega w(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}dx - \lambda\int_\Omega F(x,u)dx \\ &\geq \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}\|u\|^{p^-} - \lambda C_1\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)}dx - \lambda C_1|\Omega| \\ &\geq \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}\|u\|^{p^-} - \lambda C_1\left(1 + |u|^{q^+}_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}\right) - \lambda C_1|\Omega| \\ &\geq \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}\|u\|^{p^-} - \lambda C_1\beta_n^{q^+}\|u\|^{q^+} - \lambda(1+|\Omega|)C_1 \\ &\geq \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}\|u\|^{p^-} - \lambda C_1\beta_n^{q^+}\|u\|^{q^+ + p^-} - \lambda(1+|\Omega|)C_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$b_n = \inf_{\{u \in Z_n:\ \|u\| = \gamma_n\}} J(u) \geq \beta_n^{-\frac{p^-}{2}}\left(\frac{\bar{C}}{p^+} - \lambda C_1\beta_n^{\frac{q^+}{2}}\right) - \lambda(1+|\Omega|)C_1.$$

This completes $(H1)$.

Finally, we shall verify $(H2)$. By $(G1)$ and (4.1), there exists a constant C_2 such that

$$F(x,t) \geq \kappa(x)|t|^\theta - C_2, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using this and $(\widetilde{A}2)$, for $u \in Y_n$ with $\|u\| > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\le \widetilde{C}_1 \int_\Omega \left(\widetilde{k}(x) + w(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\right) dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \kappa(x)|u|^\theta dx + \lambda C_2|\Omega| \\ &\le \widetilde{C}_1\|u\|^{p^+} - \lambda|u|^\theta_{L^\theta(\kappa,\Omega)} + \widetilde{C}_1|\widetilde{k}|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \lambda C_2|\Omega|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Since on the finite dimensional space Y_n, norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $|\cdot|_{L^\theta(\kappa,\Omega)}$ are equivalent and $p^+ < \theta$, (4.2) implies that $J(u) \le 0$ for all $u \in Y_n$ with $\|u\|$ large enough. This completes $(H2)$ and thus the proof is done. □

4.2. **$(p(\cdot)-1)$-sublinear at infinity.** In this part we consider problem (1.1) when f satisfies $(p(\cdot)-1)$-sublinear at infinity (the condition $(F5)$). We assume that

- $(F4)$ $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times [-T,T])$ for each $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$;
- $(F5)$ $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p^- -1}} = 0$ uniformly for $x \in \Omega$;
- $(F6)$ There exist a constant $t_0 > 0$ and a ball B with $\overline{B} \subset \Omega$ such that $\int_B F(x,t_0)dx > 0$.

Using direct methods and critical point theories in Calculus of Variations, we obtain the first result of existence of multiple nontrivial solutions for (1.1).

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $(w1), (A1)-(A4)$ and $(F4)-(F6)$ hold. Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (1.1) has at least one nontrivial weak solution for every $\lambda > \lambda_0$. If in addition $(F3)$ holds in which $p^+ < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, then (1.1) has at least two nontrivial weak solutions for every $\lambda > \lambda_0$.*

Proof. It follows from $(F5)$ that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $T = T(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|f(x,t)| \le \epsilon|t|^{p^- -1}, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } |t| > T.$$

By this and $(F4)$ we deduce that

$$|f(x,t)| \le C(\epsilon) + \epsilon|t|^{p^- -1}, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $C(\epsilon) = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in\Omega, |t|\le T} |f(x,t)|$. So by Lemma 3.2, $J \in C^1(X,\mathbb{R})$ and by Lemma 3.3, J is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. We claim that J is coercive. Indeed, we deduce from (4.3) that

$$|F(x,t)| \le C(\epsilon)|t| + \frac{\epsilon}{p^-}|t|^{p^-}, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $X \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega)$, there are positive constants C_3, C_4 such that $|u|_{L^{p^-}(\Omega)} \le C_3\|u\|, |u|_{L^1(\Omega)} \le C_4\|u\|$ for all $u \in X$. So for any $u \in X$, $(\widetilde{A}4)$ and (4.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\ge \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}(\|u\|^{p^-} - 1) - \lambda C(\epsilon)\int_\Omega |u|dx - \frac{\lambda\epsilon}{p^-}\int_\Omega |u|^{p^-}dx \\ &\ge \left(\frac{\bar{C}}{p^+} - \frac{\lambda\epsilon C_3^{p^-}}{p^-}\right)\|u\|^{p^-} - \lambda C(\epsilon)C_4\|u\| - \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, choosing $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{\bar{C}}{p^+} - \frac{\lambda\epsilon C_3^{p^-}}{p^-} > 0$ we obtain from the last estimate that J is coercive since $p^- > 1$. Therefore, J has a global minimizer u_1 on X.

Next, we show that there exists $u \in X$ such that $J(u) < 0$. For each $\epsilon > 0$, let $B_\epsilon := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, B) \le \epsilon\}$, where B is the ball given in $(F6)$. Take $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that $\overline{B}_\epsilon \subset \Omega$. Then there exists $u_\epsilon \in C_c^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_\epsilon(x) := \begin{cases} t_0, & x \in B, \\ 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus B_\epsilon, \end{cases}$$

and $0 \le u_\epsilon(x) \le t_0, \forall x \in \Omega$, where t_0 is given in $(F6)$. Thus, $u_\epsilon \in X$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$|F(x, u_\epsilon(x))| \le \int_0^{u_\epsilon(x)} |f(x,s)|ds \le |f|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times[-t_0,t_0])} u_\epsilon(x) \le t_0 |f|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times[-t_0,t_0])}.$$

Thus, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_\epsilon) &= \int_\Omega A(x, \nabla u_\epsilon)dx - \lambda \int_\Omega F(x, u_\epsilon(x))dx \\ &= \int_\Omega A(x, \nabla u_\epsilon)dx - \lambda \int_B F(x, t_0)dx - \lambda \int_{B_\epsilon \setminus B} F(x, u_\epsilon(x))dx \\ &\le \int_\Omega A(x, \nabla u_\epsilon)dx - \lambda \left[\int_B F(x, t_0)dx - t_0 |f|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times[-t_0,t_0])} |B_\epsilon \setminus B| \right], \quad (4.5) \end{aligned}$$

where $|B_\epsilon \setminus B|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $B_\epsilon \setminus B$. Fixing a sufficiently small constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$t_0 |f|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times[-t_0,t_0])} |B_{\epsilon_0} \setminus B| \le \frac{1}{2} \int_B F(x, t_0)dx,$$

we obtain from (4.5) that

$$J(u_{\epsilon_0}) \le \int_\Omega A(x, \nabla u_{\epsilon_0})dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_B F(x, t_0)dx.$$

Then $J(u_{\epsilon_0}) < 0$ for all $\lambda > \lambda_0$, where $\lambda_0 := \frac{2\int_\Omega A(x,\nabla u_{\epsilon_0})dx}{\int_B F(x,t_0)dx}$. Consequently, for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$, the global minimizer u_1 satisfies $J(u_1) < 0 = J(0)$. It means that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$, the problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution u_1.

We now assume in addition that $(F3)$ holds in which $p^+ < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Note that the coercivity of J and the (S_+)-property of J' imply that J satisfies the (PS) condition. We claim that J also satisfies the geometries in the Mountain Pass Theorem. Indeed, since $p^+ < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, there is a constant q such that $p^+ < q < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Thus, we have $X \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^+}(\Omega)$ in the view of Proposition 2.5 and the boundedness of Ω. Let C_{p^+} and C_q be two positive imbedding constants such that $|u|_{L^{p^+}(\Omega)} \le C_{p^+}\|u\|, |u|_{L^q(\Omega)} \le C_q\|u\|$ for all $u \in X$. By $(F3)$ and $(F5)$ we have that for $\delta = \frac{1}{2\lambda p^+ C_{p^+}^{p^+}}$, there exist $\gamma_1 > 1$ and $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f(x,t)| \le \delta |t|^{p^- - 1} \le \delta |t|^{q-1}, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } |t| > \gamma_1,$$

and

$$|f(x,t)| \le p^+ \delta |t|^{p^+ - 1}, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } |t| < \gamma_2.$$

Combining these with $(F4)$ we obtain that

$$|F(x,t)| \le \delta |t|^{p^+} + C_5 |t|^q, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $C_5 = C_5(\delta)$ is some positive constant. It implies that for $u \in X$ with $\|u\| < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}\int_\Omega w(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}dx - \lambda\delta\int_\Omega |u|^{p^+}dx - \lambda C_5\int_\Omega |u|^q dx \\ &\geq \frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}\|u\|^{p^+} - \lambda\delta C_{p^+}^{p^+}\|u\|^{p^+} - \lambda C_5 C_q^q\|u\|^q \\ &= \frac{\bar{C}}{2p^+}\|u\|^{p^+} - \lambda C_5 C_q^q\|u\|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $0 < r < \min\big\{1, \|u_1\|, \big(\frac{\bar{C}}{2p^+\lambda C_5 C_q^q}\big)^{\frac{1}{q-p^+}}\big\}$ and letting $\rho = \frac{\bar{C}}{2p^+}r^{p^+} - \lambda C_5 C_q^q r^q$, we have

$$J(u) \geq \rho, \forall u \in X \text{ with } \|u\| = r.$$

Thus, J has the second critical point u_2, which is a weak solution to (1.1) and $J(u_2) \geq \rho > 0 = J(0)$; so $u_2 \neq u_1$ and $u_2 \neq 0$. □

Remark 4.6. *Using the similar argument as above with the same assumptions on a and w as in Theorem 4.5, we can show that* (1.1) *has a weak solution in X for every $\lambda > 0$ if* $(\widetilde{F}1)$ *holds in which $q^+ < p^-$. That solution is a global minimizer of J, which is nontrivial if* $(F4), (F6)$ *hold in addition and λ large enough.*

Next we shall establish the existence of three solutions for (1.1) by using Theorem 3.5. Defining Φ, Ψ as in (3.1), we have the following result.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose that* $(w1), (A1) - (A4)$ *and* $(F3) - (F6)$ *hold in which $p^+ < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then, there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $u_0 \in X$ with $r_0 < \Phi(u_0)$ and $\sup_{\Phi(u)<r_0} \Psi(u) < r_0\Psi(u_0)/\Phi(u_0)$ such that* (1.1) *has at least three distinct solutions in X for all $\lambda \in \Lambda = (\Phi(u_0)/\Psi(u_0), r_0/\sup_{\Phi(u)<r_0}\Psi(u))$.*

Proof. Taking u_{ϵ_0}, q and the imbedding constants C_q, C_{p^+} as in the proof of Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\Psi(u_{\epsilon_0}) = \int_\Omega F(x, u_{\epsilon_0})dx > \frac{1}{2}\int_B F(x, t_0)dx > 0,\ \Phi(u_{\epsilon_0}) = \int_\Omega A(x, \nabla u_{\epsilon_0})dx > 0.$$

Here we note that $\int_\Omega A(x, \nabla v)dx = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$. Let r_0 be such that $0 < r_0 < \min\{\frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}, \Phi(u_{\epsilon_0})\}$. If $\Phi(u) < r_0$ then by $(\widetilde{A}4)$, we get that

$$\frac{\bar{C}}{p^+}\int_\Omega w(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}dx < r_0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \int_\Omega w(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}dx < \frac{p^+ r_0}{\bar{C}} < 1.$$

This fact and Proposition 2.2 yield

$$\|u\|^{p^+} < \frac{p^+ r_0}{\bar{C}} < 1, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \|u\| < \big(\frac{p^+ r_0}{\bar{C}}\big)^{\frac{1}{p^+}}.$$

Then we can estimate

$$\int_\Omega |u|^q dx = |u|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q \leq (C_q\|u\|)^q < \frac{C_q^q (p^+)^{\frac{q}{p^+}} r_0^{\frac{q}{p^+}}}{\bar{C}^{\frac{q}{p^+}}},$$

and

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{p^+}dx = |u|_{L^{p^+}(\Omega)}^{p^+} \leq (C_{p^+}\|u\|)^{p^+} < \frac{C_{p^+}^{p^+} p^+ r_0}{\bar{C}}.$$

By these estimates and (4.6), we have that for $u \in X$ with $\Phi(u) < r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega F(x,u)dx &\leq \delta \int_\Omega |u|^{p^+} dx + C_5 \int_\Omega |u|^q dx \\ &\leq \frac{\delta C_{p^+}^{p^+} p^+ r_0}{\bar{C}} + \frac{C_5 C_q^q (p^+)^{\frac{q}{p^+}} r_0^{\frac{q}{p^+}}}{\bar{C}^{\frac{q}{p^+}}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is arbitrarily fixed. Thus we have

$$\sup_{\Phi(u)<r_0} \Psi(u) \leq r_0 \left[\frac{\delta C_{p^+}^{p^+} p^+}{\bar{C}} + \frac{C_5 C_q^q (p^+)^{\frac{q}{p^+}} r_0^{\frac{q}{p^+}-1}}{\bar{C}^{\frac{q}{p^+}}} \right].$$

Choosing $\delta > 0$ such that $\frac{\delta C_{p^+}^{p^+} p^+}{\bar{C}} < \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Psi(u_{\epsilon_0})}{\Phi(u_{\epsilon_0})}$ and $r_0 > 0$ small enough such that $\frac{C_5 C_q^q (p^+)^{\frac{q}{p^+}} r_0^{\frac{q}{p^+}-1}}{\bar{C}^{\frac{q}{p^+}}} < \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Psi(u_{\epsilon_0})}{\Phi(u_{\epsilon_0})}$, we obtain that

$$\sup_{\Phi(u)<r_0} \Psi(u) < r_0 \frac{\Psi(u_{\epsilon_0})}{\Phi(u_{\epsilon_0})}.$$

Note that $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ is coercive for every $\lambda \geq 0$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.5). Applying Theorem 3.5 with $u_0 = u_{\epsilon_0}$ in the view of Lemma 3.2, we have the conclusion. □

Remark 4.8. *To show the nontriviality of solutions in* [3]*, they used a stronger condition than* $(F6)$;

$$F(x,t_0) > 0 \quad \textit{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Moreover, we do not impose $p(x) \geq 2, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ *and the uniformly monotonicity of the operator* a *as in* [3] *to obtain Theorem 4.7.*

5. Uniqueness and non-negativeness

In this section, we are concerned with the uniqueness and the nonnegativeness of solutions for (1.1).

First, when we assume that f is nonincreasing with respect to the second variable and a holds $(A3)$, we have the unique existence by the Browder's theorem for monotone operators in the reflexive Banach spaces (see [21, Theorem 26.A]). Since the proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [9], we shall omit it.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that* $(w1), (A2)-(A4)$ *hold. Assume also that* $(\widetilde{F}1)$ *holds and* $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is nonincreasing with respect to the second variable. Then for every* $\lambda > 0$, (1.1) *has a unique weak solution.*

To show the existence of a unique nontrivial nonnegative solution, we need an L^∞-bound of solutions for (1.1). For such an L^∞-bound, more restrictions on w are required.

$(w2)$ $w \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $w^{-s^+} \in L^1(\Omega)$ for some $s \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $s(x) \in \left(\frac{N}{p(x)}, \infty\right) \cap \left[\frac{1}{p(x)-1}, \infty\right)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

Example 5.2. *It is easy to verify that* $w(x) = |x|^a$ $(0 \leq a < \min\{p^-, N(p^- - 1)\})$ *satisfies* $(w2)$ *with* $s(x) \equiv \frac{N-\epsilon}{a}$*, where* $0 < \epsilon < \min\left\{\frac{N(p^- - a)}{p^-}, \frac{N(p^- - 1) - a}{p^- - 1}\right\}$.

We have the following L^∞-bound result.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that $(w2), (A2), (A4)$ and $(F1)$ hold. Then for every $\lambda > 0$, there exist positive constants α, β such that, if u is a weak solution of (1.1), then $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, and*

$$|u|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le \alpha \left[1 + \left(\int_\Omega |u|^{\widetilde{q}(x)} dx\right)^\beta\right],$$

where $\widetilde{q}(x) := \max\{p(x), q(x)\}$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

Proof. Noting $p(x) \le \widetilde{q}(x) < p_s^*(x),\ \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $|f(x,t)| \le 2C\left(1 + |t|^{\widetilde{q}(x)-1}\right)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and repeating the argument used in [9, Proof of Theorem 4.2], we get the desired conclusion. □

Employing the L^∞-bound and cut-off method, we obtain the existence of a unique nontrivial nonnegative solution which is an extension of Theorem 5.1 in [9] for a single degenerate $p(x)$-Laplacian. Unlike the assumption in [9], we do not require the continuity of f for the last main result.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that $(w2), (A1) - (A4)$ hold. Assume also that $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nonincreasing with respect to the second variable on $[0, \infty)$ such that $f(x,0) \ge 0$, $f(x,0) \not\equiv 0$ and $f(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for each $t \ge 0$. Then for every $\lambda > 0$, (1.1) has a nontrivial nonnegative weak solution and this solution is the unique solution if f is nonincreasing with respect to the second variable on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let us denote $u^- = -\min\{u, 0\}$ for $u \in X$ in this proof. By the hypotheses on f, $d := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x\in\Omega} f(x,0)$ is a positive constant. Theorem 5.1 guarantees the existence of a unique weak solution u_d of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} a(x, \nabla u) = \lambda d & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.3 also guarantees $u_d \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. We claim that u_d is nonnegative. In fact, since u_d is a weak solution for (5.1), we have

$$\int_\Omega a(x, \nabla u_d) \cdot \nabla u_d^- dx = \lambda d \int_\Omega u_d^- dx. \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, from $(A4)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega a(x, \nabla u_d) \cdot \nabla u_d^- dx = \int_{\{x\in\Omega:\ u_d \ge 0\}} a(x, \nabla u_d) \cdot \nabla u_d^- dx \\ &+ \int_{\{x\in\Omega:\ u_d < 0\}} a(x, \nabla u_d) \cdot \nabla u_d^- dx = \int_{\{x\in\Omega:\ u_d < 0\}} a(x, -\nabla u_d^-) \cdot \nabla u_d^- dx \\ &= -\int_{\{x\in\Omega:\ u_d < 0\}} a(x, \nabla(-u_d^-)) \cdot \nabla(-u_d^-) dx \le 0. \end{aligned}$$

So it follows from this and (5.2) that $\int_\Omega u_d^- dx = 0$. Consequently, $u_d^- = 0$ a.e. on Ω, i.e., u_d is nonnegative a.e. on Ω. Define

$$f^*(x,t) = \begin{cases} f(x,0) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \text{ and } t < 0, \\ f(x,t) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 \le t \le u_d(x), \\ f(x, u_d(x)) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \text{ and } u_d(x) < t, \end{cases}$$

and

$$F^*(x,t) = \int_0^t f^*(x,s)ds,$$

and

$$\widetilde{J}(u) = \int_\Omega A(x,\nabla u)dx - \lambda \int_\Omega F^*(x,u)dx.$$

From the assumptions on f and the nonnegativeness of u_d, it is easy to see that there exists a positive constant C_6 such that

$$|f^*(x,t)| \le C_6 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

So by a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 4.5 we have that $\widetilde{J} \in C^1(X,\mathbb{R})$ and $\widetilde{J}$ is coercive, sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. Therefore $\widetilde{J}$ has a global minimizer u_* and we have

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} a(x,\nabla u_*) = \lambda f^*(x,u_*) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_* = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

We claim that $0 \le u_* \le u_d$ a.e. on Ω. Indeed, it follows from (5.3) that

$$\int_\Omega a(x,\nabla u_*)\cdot\nabla u_*^- dx = -\int_\Omega a(x,\nabla(-u_*^-))\cdot\nabla(-u_*^-)dx = \lambda\int_\Omega f^*(x,u_*)u_*^- dx.$$

Thus, $u_*^- = 0$ because of $(A4)$ and the fact that $f^*(x,t) = f(x,0) \ge 0$ for $t < 0$. So $u_* \ge 0$ a.e. on Ω. To show that $u_* \le u_d$, let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : u_* > u_d\}$. Due to $u_* \ge 0$, we have $f^*(x,u_*) \le f(x,0) \le d$. Thus

$$-\operatorname{div}\left[a(x,\nabla u_d) - a(x,\nabla u_*)\right] = \lambda[d - f^*(x,u_*)] \ge 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Denoting $\varphi = u_d - u_*$ and taking $-\varphi^-$ as a test function in (5.4) we have

$$-\int_\Omega [a(x,\nabla u_d) - a(x,\nabla u_*)]\cdot\nabla\varphi^- dx \le 0,$$

and hence, since $\nabla\varphi = -\nabla\varphi^-$ on Ω_1, we get

$$\int_{\Omega_1} [a(x,\nabla u_d) - a(x,\nabla u_*)]\cdot(\nabla u_d - \nabla u_*)dx \le 0.$$

It follows that $\nabla\varphi = 0$ on Ω_1 due to the monotonicity of a. It implies that $\nabla\varphi^- = 0$ on Ω and therefore $\varphi^- = 0$ on Ω, i.e., $\varphi \ge 0$ a.e. on Ω. It means that $u_* \le u_d$ a.e. on Ω. Consequently, $f^*(x,u_*) = f(x,u_*)$ and hence, u_* is a weak solution of (1.1). Since $-\operatorname{div} a(x,\nabla u_*) = \lambda f(x,u_*)$ and $f(x,0) \not\equiv 0$, u_* is nontrivial.

When f is nonincreasing with respect to the second variable on $\mathbb{R}$, the uniqueness comes from the monotonicity of a and f (see the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [8]). □

Acknowledgement. The second author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korea Government (MEST) (NRF-2015R1D1A3A01019789).

References

[1] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973), 349–381.

[2] G. Bonanno, G. Molica Bisci, *Three weak solutions for elliptic Dirichlet problems,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 382 (2011), 1–8.

[3] M.-M. Boureanu, D.N. Udrea, *Existence and multiplicity results for elliptic problems with p(.)-Growth conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. RWA. 14 (2013), 1829–1844.

[4] Y. Chen, S. Levine, M. Rao, *Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 66 (2006), no. 4, 1383–1406.

[5] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Růžička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2017, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2011.

[6] P. Drábek, A. Kufner, F. Nicolosi, *Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities. de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 5. Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 1997.

[7] X. Fan, X. Han, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for p(x)-Laplacian equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Nonlinear Anal. 59 (2004), 173–188.

[8] X. Fan, *Existence and uniqueness for the* $p(x)$*-Laplacian-Dirichlet problems*, Math. Nachr. 284 (2011), no. 11– 12, 1435–1445.

[9] K. Ho, I. Sim, *Existence and some properties of solutions for degenerate elliptic equations with exponent variable*, Nonlinear Anal. 98 (2014), 146–164. *Corrigendum to "Existence and some properties of solutions for degenerate elliptic equations with exponent variable"[Nonlinear Anal. 98 (2014), 146–164]*, Nonlinear Anal. 128 (2015), 423–426.

[10] K. Ho, I. Sim, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for degenerate* $p(x)$*-Laplace equations involving concave-convex type nonlinearities with two parameters*, Taiwanese J. Math., Vol. 19 (2015), No. 5, 1469–1493.

[11] I.H. Kim, Y.-H. Kim, *Mountain pass type solutions and positivity of the infimum eigenvalue for quasilinear elliptic equations with variable exponents,* Manuscripta Math. 147 (2015), no. 1–2, 169–191.

[12] Y.-H. Kim, L. Wang, C. Zhang, *Global bifurcation of a class of degenerate elliptic equations with variable exponents*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 371 (2010), 624–637.

[13] O. Kovăčik, J. Răkosnik, *On spaces* $L^{p(x)}$ *and* $W^{k,p(x)}$, Czechoslovak Math. J. 41(116) (1991), 592–618.

[14] V.K. Le, *On a sub-supersolutionmethod for variational inequalities with Leray-Lions operators in variable exponent spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), 3305–3321.

[15] D. Liu, X. Wang, J. Yao, *On* $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$*-Laplace equations*, arXiv:1205.1854v1.

[16] M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu, *A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 462 (2006), 2625–2641.

[17] V. Murthy, G. Stampacchia, *Boundary value problems for some degenerate-elliptic operators*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 80 (1968), 1–122.

[18] M. Růžička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[19] I. Sim, Y.-H. Kim, *Existence of solutions and positivity of the infimum eigenvalue for degenerate elliptic equations with variable exponents,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Supplement 2013, 695–707.

[20] M. Willem, *Minimax theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.

[21] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications II/B*, Springer, New York, 1990.

[22] V.V. Zhikov, *On some variational problems*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. 5 (1997), 105–116.

[23] J.F. Zhao, *Structure Theory of Banach Spaces*, Wuhan University Press, Wuhan, 1991 (in Chinese).

Ky Ho

Department of Mathematics, University of Ulsan, Ulsan 680-749, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: hnky81@gmail.com

Inbo Sim
Department of Mathematics, University of Ulsan, Ulsan 680-749, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: ibsim@ulsan.ac.kr